

日期 | 2013 年 02 月 04 日

內容摘要：

- (填寫說明：1. 如有附件請註明，如簡報檔、全文檔等  
2. 需有問題與討論：請註明姓名並包含醫學倫理及 EBM 之應用  
3. 需有總結，請註明做結論者【主持人】姓名  
4. 請自行編排頁碼)

地點：B2 同新園會議室

主題：journal meeting

報告者：R1 林吉倡

主持者：VS 王瑞芳

紀錄：R3 周光緯

{Q and A}：

Q1. CR 朱健銘：Validity 分為哪兩種？

A1. R3 許哲彰：internal validity and external validity.

Q2. CR 朱健銘：What's internal validity？

A2. R3 周光緯：由因導致結果的 validity.

Q3. CR 朱健銘：What's external validity？

A3. R1 陳穎玲：Reader's patients/ Population.

Q4. CR 朱健銘：

A4. R3 周光緯：

**Is selection bias present?**

In a cohort study, are participants in the exposed and unexposed groups similar in all important respects except for the exposure?

In a case-control study, are cases and controls similar in all important respects except for the disease in question?

Q5. CR 朱健銘：

A5. R2 羅志威：

**Is information bias present?**

In a cohort study, is information about outcome obtained in the same way for those exposed and unexposed?

In a case-control study, is information about exposure gathered in the same way for cases and controls?

Q6. CR 朱健銘：

A6. R3 許哲彰：

**Is confounding present?**

Could the results be accounted for by the presence of a factor—eg, age, smoking, sexual behaviour, diet—associated with both the exposure and the outcome but not directly involved in the causal pathway?

Q7. CR 朱健銘：

A7. R3 周光緯：

**If the results cannot be explained by these three biases, could they be the result of chance?**

What are the relative risk or odds ratio and 95% CI?<sup>11,12</sup>

Is the difference statistically significant, and, if not, did the study have adequate power to find a clinically important difference?<sup>13,14</sup>

Q8. CR 朱健銘：什麼是 case control study?

A8. R3 周光緯：同一時間下收集資料比較

內容摘要(續):

Q9. CR 朱健銘: What's cohort study ?

A9. R3 周光緯: 先找一群人, retrograde or antegrade 研究

Q10. CR 朱健銘: Selection bias?

A10. R2 羅志威: information bias, chance, confounding.

{EBM and ethics}:

Q1. CR 朱健銘: How to Control Confounding?

A1. R3 許哲彰: Restriction, Matching, Multivariate technique,  
Stratification

Q2. CR 朱健銘: Restriction?

A2. R2 羅志威: Excludes confounding  
↑Internal validity; ↓External validity

Q3. CR 朱健銘: What's information bias?

A3. R3 許哲彰: Recall bias  
↑ recall in cases (↑ motives)

{Key points}:

1. Validity 哪兩種
2. Bias 有哪些, 如何分辨
3. Case control study vs. cohort study

{VS comment}:

VS 王瑞芳:

統計學的部分雖跟醫學知識無直接相關, 但還是要懂一些,  
之後寫論文才知道要用怎樣的方法作研究。

紀錄: R3 周光緯