Should Glucocorticoid-Induced Hyperglycemia Be Treated in Patients With Septic Shock?

~ JAMA, January 27, 2010; 303(4):365-366

~ Editorial, Greet Van den Berghe, MD, PhD

- ~ Corticosteroid Treatment and Intensive Insulin Therapy for Septic Shock in Adults: A Randomized Controlled Trial
- The COIITSS Study Investigators, JAMA. Jan 27, 2010;303(4):341-348

Reporter: PGY 張三姍 Supervisor: F 鄭伯良 2010/02/20

Preface

- Corticosteroids was used for reversal of fluid- and vasopressor-resistant septic shock which induces insulin resistance and hyperglycemia
- Glucocorticoid-induced hyperglycemia
 should be treated in noncritically ill patients
 - $^\circ\,$ be treated in patients with septic shock in the ICU ? and to what blood glucose level $?\,$

History

- Hyperglycemia
- an appropriate stress response
- probably a potentially beneficial adaptation to critical illness
- Hyperglycemia was not treated unless glycemia exceeded the renal threshold (200~215 mg/dL, with glucosuria and hypovolemia)
- <u>Conventional glucose control</u> with insulin infusion
 started only if the blood glucose > 215 mg/dL
 - adjusted to maintain the level at 180 ~200 mg/dL

Evolution

- Greet Van den Berghe et al. NEJM 2001;345(19)
 - In predominantly cardiac surgical ICU patient in Leuven
 Intensive insulin therapy with insulin infusion
 Started if the blood glucose > 110 mg/dL
 - adjusted to maintain the level at 80 ~110 mg/dL
 - $\downarrow\,$ mortality by an absolute 3% and reduced morbidity by prevention of secondary complications
- 2004 Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) guidelines
 targeting a blood glucose level to <150 mg/dL in the patient with sepsis (2c)
 - · Post hoc data analysis
 - best results at the group of 80~110 mg/dL
 - improved outcome at the group of <150 mg/dL

COIITSS study

- Corticosteroids and Intensive Insulin Therapy for Septic Shock (COIITSS) study, *JAMA*. 2010;303(4)
 - 11 participating intensive care units in France, randomized, 2X2 factorial, open-label trial
 - 509 patients was randomized to
 - Intensive insulin therapy / hydrocortisol 50mg q6h
 - Intensive insulin therapy / add fludrocortisone 50ug qd
 - Usual care / hydrocortisol 50mg q6h
 - Usual care / add fludrocortisone 50ug qd
 - In-hospital death, overall survival, # of days vesopressinfree, cumulative incidence of SOFA <8 at 7th day (Sequential Organ Failure Assessment), length of stay at ICU

	Intensive Insulin	Conventional	P Va	lue	Hydrocortisone +	Hydrocortisone	P Va	ilua euti
Variables	(n = 255)	Glucose Control (n = 254)	l Unadjusted	Adjusted	(n = 245)	Alone (n = 264)	Unadjusted	Adjusted
in-hospital death, No./total (%)	117/255 (45.9)	109/254 (42.9)	.50	.37	105 (42.9)	121 (45.8)	.50	.91
Overall survival Deaths, No. (%)	122 (47.9)	118 (46.5)			112 (45.7)	128 (48.5)		
Kaplan-Meior estimate of survival rates, HR (95% Ct), d	1.04 (0.80-1.34)	[Reference]	.78	.39	0.94 (0.73-1.21)	[Reference]	.61	.67
28	62.2 (56.4-68.5)	61.1 (55.3-67.5)			62.5 (56.6-68.9)	60.9 (55.2-67.1)		
90	51.8 (45.9-58.4)	54.8 (48.9-61.4)			54.2 (48.2-61.0)	52.4 (46.6-58.9)		
180	50.9 (45.0-57.6)	52.1 (46.2-58.8)			52.9 (46.9-59.7)	50.2 (44.4-56.8)		
No. of patients who died	103	82			105	121		
Causes of death, No. (%) Multiple organ failure Cardiovascular	92 (78:6) 9 (8:7)	66 (60.6) 7 (8.5)			75.(71.4) 7.(6.7)	83.08.0		
Stroko	1 (1.0)	2 (2.4)	oneb	.005Þ	3 (2.9)	0	.675	.74b
Brain hemorrhage	0	2 (2.4)	.004*		0	2(1.7)		
Reltactory hypoxia	1 (1.0)	2 (2.4)			2 (1.9)	1 (0.8)		
Unknown	0	3(3.7)			3 (2.9)	0		
No. of days, median (ICR) Vasopressor-hee within the first 7 days	4 (1-6)	4 (2-5)	.58	.60	4 (2-5)	4 (1-5)	.62	.61
Mechanical ventilation free within 28 days	10 (2-22)	13 (2-23)	.51	.29	12 (2-23)	12 (2.22.5)	.50	.81
Cumulative incidence of SOFA <8 at day 7 (96% Ct)	64.3 (58.6-70.1)	60.6 (54.7-66.6)	.38	.75	63.3 (57.3-69.2)	61.7 (56.0-67.5)	.75	.78
Longth of stay, median (IQR), d ICU								
Al patients	9 (4-19)	9 (4-15)	.70	.39	9 (4-16)	9 (4-17.5)	.86	.35
Survivors	10 (6-19)	9 (5-15)	.68	.46	10 (6-16)	9 (5-17)	.52	.10
Hospital	10.00.040	15.77.901	07	04	14.10.003	10 /7.20	46	07
Sinkore	24 (12,43)	22/11.30	87	57	19 (5.40)	25.5.(14.42)		13
	are [12:40]	an 111,000	197		14 (4 19 19 19	1000 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100 (100		.10

Variables	Intensive Insulin Therapy (n = 255)	Conventional Glucose Control (n = 254)	P Value	Hydrocorti- sone + Fludrocorti- sone (n = 245)	Hydrocorti- sone Alone (n = 264)	P Value
Superinfection, No. of patients/episodes Total	47/106	43/132	.66	53/144	37/94	.02
Lung	35/59	29/94	.43	36/82	28/71	.18
Peritoneal	4/10	1/1	.37	4/10	1/1	.20
Urinary tract	7/8	13/16	.18	15/17	5/7	.02
Central nervous system	0/0	1/1	.50	1/1	0/0	.48
Blood	0/10	4/5	.26	8/0	5/6	.40
Othors	14/10	8/15	.28	15/25	7/0	.08
in-hospital death among patients with superinfection, No./total (%)	26/47 (55.3)	21/43 (48.8)	.67	27/53 (50.9)	20/37 (54.1)	.83
Hypoglycemia, glucose <:40 mg/dL. No. of measures per patient, median (GPI)	72 (43-110)	44 (32-56)	<.001	51 (31-79)	53 (38-81)	.36
No. of patients/episodes	42/72	20/44	.003	32/51	30/53	.59
No. of episodes 0 1 2 3 4	211 26 9 5 1 1	234 13 3 1 2 1	.002	212 10 8 3 2 1	233 20 4 3 2 1	.54
Episodas, maan (SD)	0.289 (0.90)	0.139 (0.58)	.003	0.238 (0.86)	0.198 (0.68)	.63
in-hospital death among patients with hypoglycemia, No./total (%)	19/42 (45.2)	10/20 (50.0)	.79	14/32 (43.8)	15/30 (50.0)	.80
MDRS day 28 1 2 3 4	3 3 0	11 3 1 3	.06	5 4 2 1	2	.10

Conclusion

- No difference between Intensive insulin therapy targeting normoglycemia (range of 80 to110 mg/dL) compared with <u>usual care (<150mg/dL)</u> among patients with hydrocortisone-treated septic shock
- Increased hypoglycemia episodes in the group of intensive insulin therapy
- The addition of fludrocortisone did not improve inhospital mortality compared with use of hydrocortisone alone



- Normoglycemia (80~110mg/dL) was difficult to achieve in a multicenter setting
 The actual blood glucose levels were not different between two groups (intensive insulin therapy vs.)
 - The actual blood glucose levels were not uniferent between two groups (intensive insulin therapy vs SSC guidelines)
 - Clinicians caring for patients with septic shock treated with hydrocortisone will still be left with uncertainty as to whether insulin should be given and to what level the blood glucose should be lowered, adding to the uncertainty of whether to treat with hydrocortisone in the first place



Table 1. Grading system

- Grading of recommendations A. Supported by at least two level I investigations
- A. Supported by at least two level I investigations B. Supported by one level I investigation
- C. Supported by level II investigations only
- D. Supported by at least one level III investigation
- E. Supported by a least one level in investigation E. Supported by level IV or V evidence

Grading of evidence

I. Large, randomized trials with clear-cut results; low risk of false- positive (alpha) error offalse-negative (beta) error

- III. Small, randomized trials with uncertain results; moderate-tohigh risk of false-positive(alpha) and/or false-negative (beta) error
- III. Nonrandomized, contemporaneous controls
- IV. Nonrandomized, historical controls and expert opinion
 V. Case series, uncontrolled studies, and expert opinion Crit Care Med 2004 Vol. 32, No. 3 859
 - Cin Care wieu 2004 v 01. 32, 110. 3 835

OFA score	0	I.	2	3	4
Respirationa P#O2/FIO2 mm Hg) S#O2/FIO2	>400	<400 221–301	<300 142–220	<200 67–141	<100 <67
Coagulation Natelets 10 ¹ /mm ¹	>150	<150	<100	<50	<20
Liver Bilirubin İmg/dL)	<1.2	1.2–1.9	2.0-5.9	6.0-11.9	>12.0
Cardiovascular lypotension	No hypotension	MAP <70	Dopamine =5 or<br dobutamine (any)	Dopamine >5 or norepinephrine =0.1</td <td>Dopamine >15 or norepinephrine >0.1</td>	Dopamine >15 or norepinephrine >0.1
CNS Glasgow Coma icore	15	13-14	10-12	6–9	<6
Renal Ereatimine mg/dL) or unine output imL/d)	<1.2	1.2 1.9	2.0 3.4	3.5 4.9 or <500	>5.0 or <200