

#### Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### American Journal of Emergency Medicine





Original Contribution

Analysis of closed malpractice medical claims against Taiwanese EDs: 2003 to  $2012^{\dot{\alpha},\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}$ 



Kuan-Han Wu, MD\*, Chien-Hung Wu, MD 1, Shih-Yu Cheng, MD, Wen-Huei Lee, MD, Chia-Te Kung, MD

Programmed of Engineery Medicine Evolution Change Cone Menoral Manufact Change Cone University College of Medicine Evolution Cone Set Trivian

M6 蕭堯 498940345 指導老師 ER-陳欣伶醫師 2014-09-15

# Introduction

- Emergency care providers often work under adverse conditions → are at a particularly high risk for malpractice claims
- the average time between the alleged incident and litigation closure is 45 months, insurer will incur more than \$14000 in expenses
- The cost of a medical malpractice claim ranges from US \$313205 to \$521560

### Introduction

- In Taiwan, <u>EP's</u> pay the highest median indemnity payments in civil courts → 93% of physicians practice defensive medicine
- it is important to identify clinical behavior and medical error that leads to lawsuits
- this study aimed to examine a <u>non-Western</u> <u>country's experience of ED-related closed</u> medical claims and identify high-risk diseases

### Methods

- The judicial system of Taiwan consists of the supreme court, high courts, and district courts
- The results of the medical appraisal were categorized into appropriate, negligent or controversial
- We conducted a retrospective study and reviewed the Taiwanese civil court verdicts that pertained to EDs from 2003 to 2012

Methods

- The outcome of injury was categorized into 4 grades: <u>death</u>; grave injury, permanent injury or others
- The level of hospital was also documented: medical center, regional hospital, or district hospital
- The type of error was categorized into 3 groups: (1) Diagnosis errors (2) Performance errors (3) Other errors

### Results

- the annual risk of malpractice litigation was 0.63%
- Seven cases (11.1%) resulted in an indemnity payment, with a mean payment of \$134738
- Most verdicts (56 [88.9%]) were settled in favor of the clinician

6

### Results

Table 1											
Demographic	data	and	charac	teristics	of m	edical	litigatio	in cases i	in	Taiwan	

		All claims, $n = 63$	Indemnity paid, n = 7	No indemnity paid, n = 56
Patient type	Nontrauma	41 (65.1)	3 (42.9)	38 (67.9)
	Trauma	15 (23.8)	4 (57.1)	11 (19.6)
	Pediatric	7 (11.1)	0	7 (12.5)
Level of hospital*	Center	19 (28.8)	3 (42.9)	16 (27.1)
	Regional	40 (60.6)	4 (57.1)	36 (61.0)
	District	7 (10.6)	0	7 (11.9)
Court that made the final	Supreme	12 (19.1)	3 (42.9)	9 (16.1)
judgment	High	16 (25.4)	0	16 (28.6)
	District	35 (55.6)	4 (57.1)	31 (55.4)
Appraisal results <sup>b</sup>	Appropriate	42 (71.2)	0	42 (80.8)
	Controversial	8 (13.6)	0	8 (15.4)
	Negligence	9 (15.3)	7 (100)	2 (3.9)
Incident-to-litigation closure (mo)		$57.7 \pm 26.8$	$70.6\pm26.4$	$56.2\pm26.6$

Data presented in parentheses represent a percentage of cases (%) or as mean  $\pm$  SD.

\* Two patients sued 1 center hospital and 1 regional hospital; 1 patient sued 2 regional hospital;

### Results

		Indemnity claime	Inderwrity paid, n = 7			
Patient outcome	Death, n = 38	Grave, n = 12	Permanent, n = 12	Other, $n=1$	Death, n = 5	Grave, $n=2$
Total amount	213.1 ± 167.2	447.4 ± 396.8	149.6 ± 154.3	168.4	68.7 ± 29.3	299.8 ± 37.0
Interment for	10.5 ± 8.4 (81.6%)	+	* 11.	*	$9.84 \pm 9.43 (803)$	
Medical expenses	63±99 (39.51)	$304.8 \pm 285.4 (96.71)$	48.98 ± 52.84 (50%)	with the same of the same of	$1.5 \pm 2.0 (401)$	1964 ± 667 (100%
Salary loss	19.22 (2.63)	239.2 ± 185.2 (41.7%)	155.2 ± 244.8 (41.7%)	101.7 (100%)		12.0 (50%)
Economic support damages	$73.3 \pm 77.4 (60.55)$		10.0 (B.3%)	-	11.8 ± 6.6 (401)	-
Noneconomic damages	1452 ± 136.6 (92.13)	131.5 ± 83.5 (75k)	47.3 ± 37.7 (75%)	66.7 (1001)	55.5 ± 26.3 (100%)	533 ± 189 (1001

- Almost all of the cases (93.7%) were sent for medical appraisal at least once during the trial
- Most cases (71.2%) were deemed as appropriate, 8
  cases (13.6%) were considered controversial, 9 cases
  were deemed to be negligent

### Results

 The most common conditions involved in the alleged malpractice claims were <u>infectious</u> <u>diseases</u> (27.0%), along with <u>central nervous</u> system (CNS) bleeding (15.9%) and <u>trauma</u> cases (12.7%)

Type of error	No. of cases $(n = 63)$
Diagnosis error	33 (52.41)
CNS bleeding	
Meningitis	5
bchemic stroke	4
Trauma (testis rupture, fracture, liver rupture, pneumoperitonesim)	4
Infectious diseases	4
Anrtic vascular lesion	3
Drug or procedure complications (OS bleeding, hemothorax)	2
Myocarditis	1
Testis tornion	1
Hepatoma	1
Performance error	24 (38 13)
Infectious diseases	7
Trauma (cervical spine fracture, multiple trauma, blant eye injury).	4
Respiratory failure	3
Pancreatitis	3
bchemic stroke	2
CNS bleeding	2
Hemotytic anemia	1
Cardiogenic shock	1
Seizure	1
Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest	1
Other errors	6 (9.5%)
New orner disease not related to initial ED visit.	4
Fall related to inadequate protection	1
Drug-induced anaphylactic shock	1

## Results

 Most of the medical errors were diagnosis related (71.4%), although there was performance error in 2 cases (28.6%)

Case no.	Disease	Patient outcome	Medical error
1	OS bleeding	Death	Mindiagnosis of CNS bleeding after beparin usage in a brainsten strike patient with progressive deteriorating consciousness
2	CNS bleeding	Death	Delayed diagnosis of traumatic epidual hemorrhage in a patient with scalp laceration and penistent headache
1	CNS bleeding	Grave	Delayed diagnosis of traumatic epidutal hemorrhage in an alcohol introducted patient without full connciousness
4	Traumatic small bowel rupture	Drath	Misdiagnosis of presmoperitoneum by computed tomography in a patient with rib fracture and hemotheras.
5	Procedure complications	Death	Failure to recognize and treat delayed hemotherax that occurred 1 d after chest tube insertion in a patient with persistent chest pain.
6.	Hemolytic anemia	Death	Failure to order O-segative blood in an acute anemic patient with uncertain blood type; failure to supervise management of intern
7	Respiratory failure	Grave	A 75-min delay for specialist consultation in a difficult to insubate patient

### 10

### Results

Case no.	Disease	Patient outcome	Medical error
1	Sepsis	Death	Delayed administration of antibiotics in rapidly deteriorating septic shock patient
2	Sepsis.	Death	ONS blending, induced by fall related to inadequate protection; died of sepsis 2 ms postadrassion
3	CNS bireding.	Douth	Delayed diagnosis of OS bleeding in patient with traumatic right subdural hemorrhage protoperation
4	Sepsis.	Permanent injury- ertarded growth	Inappropriate monitoring of intravenous puncture site resulting in secondary infection
5	Traumatic optic neuropathy	Permanent injury— decreased vision	Delayed consultation with ophthalmologist
6	Testis torsion	Permanent injury- orchiectomy	Delayed diagnostic examination and comultation for tests torsion due to misdiagnosis in cases with atypical presentation (tests pain for 3 d)
7	Inchemia stroke	Permanent injury-arhana	Delayed consultation with neurologist in patient presenting with anhasia

 the result of the medical appraisal was negligence in 2 cases, controversial in 3 cases, and appropriate in 2 cases

### Discussion

- the estimated annual malpractice litigation risk for EP's was 0.52% in the United States (0.63% in Taiwan)
- 88.9% were settled in favor of the physicians, which is similar
- In Taiwan, the average indemnity paid for cases that ruled in favor of the plaintiff was \$134738 (difficult to directly compare)

12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Four cases without indemnity paid were not sent for medical appraisal.

### Discussion

- almost 90% of the claims were dismissed without indemnity paid
- When indemnity was paid, the amount was only 48.0% of the indemnity claimed
- The threat of malpractice lawsuits simply leads physicians to practice defensive medicine, which raises the cost of health care.

### Discussion

- The fear of malpractice litigation may arise from indirect costs including time (57.7 ± 26.7 months), emotional stress, added work, interrupted schedule, and reputational damage.
- In none of the examined cases did the judge adjudicating the appeal reverse the decision of the initial judge

### Discussion

- approximately 80% had poor prognosis of either death or grave injury
- the indemnity paid in grave injury was 4.36 times higher than in expired cases → creates moral and economical conflicts
- further discussion and investigation should be made

### Discussion

- the <u>diagnosis error</u> percentage was 52.4% for all included cases (US 37.0%-77.14%) <u>performance</u> errors (38.1%) was higher (US 17.5%-23.16%)
- <u>high-risk diagnoses</u> account for 63.75% to 66.44% of all ED closed medical claims (only 31.8% in our study)
- the most common diagnoses in US are <u>fracture</u>, <u>cancer</u>, <u>meningitis</u>, <u>myocardial infarction</u>, <u>and</u> <u>appendicitis</u>

### Discussion

- Only 8.3% of cases with alleged <u>performance</u> <u>error</u> and 21.2% <u>diagnosis error</u> were deemed negligent by medical appraisal → there is a <u>gap in</u> <u>the understanding</u> of the definition of <u>standard of care</u> between health care providers and plaintiffs in Taiwan
- Through <u>public education</u>, improving and <u>clarifying</u> the definition of the <u>standard of care</u> → could prevent future frivolous medical litigation

### Discussion

- In the cases with <u>delayed diagnoses</u>: symptoms were initially masked by additional clinical symptoms → Potential lethal diseases should be always considered
- The delay in ordering a specialist consult was determined to be <u>medical error</u> → Awareness of this issue can help EP's evaluate and assess the risks of liability

### Limitations

- we analyzed cases based on verdicts rather than medical charts
- detailed demographic factors of the plaintiffs and defendants were not provided in the verdict
- the number of cases was still limited
- additional national studies of malpractice claims should be initiated

Conclusion

- EPs in Taiwan have similar medico-legal risk as American EPs, with an annual risk of being sued of 0.63%
- 90% of EPs win their cases but spend 57.7±26.7 months
- mean indemnity payment was \$134738
- Cases in which <u>indemnity was paid</u> were mostly categorized as having <u>diagnosis errors</u>

21

19