<b>JOURNAL MEETING</b> 報告者: R1 鄭凱文 指導者: VS 王瑞芳 102.12.02	Nielsen N, Wetterslev J, Cronberg T, et al. Targeted Temperature Management at 33°C versus 36°C after Cardiac Arrest. N Engl J Med. 2013;:131117131833001
<ul> <li>Background</li> <li>resuscitation guidelines <ul> <li>Therapeutic hypothermia</li> </ul> </li> <li>treatment effect due to hypothermia or to the prevention of fever???</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Methods</li> <li>Target Temperature Management (TTM) 33°C vs. 36°C after OHCA</li> <li>randomized clinical trial</li> <li>36 ICUs in Europe &amp; Australia</li> <li>approved by the ethics committees</li> <li>TTM for 28 hours → gradual rewarming to 37°C (+0.5°C/hr)</li> <li>DC/taper sedation @ 36 hours</li> <li>for unconscious p'ts → maintain BT &lt; 37.5°C until 72 hrs after the cardiac arrest</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Inclusion criteria</li> <li>≧ 18y/o, GCS &lt; 8 on admission to the hospital after OHCA presumed cardiac cause</li> <li>spontaneous circulation after resuscitation (持續&gt; 20mins)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>exclusion criteria</li> <li>&gt;4 hrs from ROSC to screening</li> <li>unwitnessed arrest with asystole as the initial rhythm</li> <li>suspected or known acute ICH/stroke</li> <li>BT &lt; 30°C</li> <li>pregnancy</li> <li>bleeding diathesis except medically induced coagulopathy</li> <li>DNR \ Known disease making 180 days survival unlikely</li> <li>pre-arrest CPC 3 or 4</li> <li>SBP &lt;80 mmHg after fluid loading/vasopressor/inotropic medication/IABP (w/ 220mins)</li> </ul>

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Statistical Analysis • continuous outcome measures → Wilcoxon signed- rank test • Kaplan-Meier survival curves → log-rank test • Relative risks → Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel statistics • Trends → Cochran-Armitage test • Logistic-regression & Cox analyses, with adjustment • for site • for five baseline variables: age, sex, shockable rhythm, circulatory shock on admission, TROSC • SAS (ver. 9.3) & SPSS (ver. 17.1) <b>P'ts</b> • November 2010 ~ January 2013 • 950 p'ts • 33°C → 473 • 36°C → 466 • two groups had similar prerandomization characteristics
<ul> <li>Temperature Intervention</li> <li>mean values of the initial recorded BT (tympanic)</li> <li>35.2°C (30) and 35.3°C (30)</li> <li>intravascular cooling catheter (24%)</li> <li>surface cooling system (76%)</li> </ul>	39

<ul> <li>Withdrawal of Life-Sustaining Therapy</li> <li>During the first 7 days of hospitalization</li> <li>33°C group → 132</li> <li>36°C group → 115</li> </ul>			<ul> <li>Follow-up and Outcomes</li> <li>face-to-face interview with the p't (86%)</li> <li>a structured telephone interview with the p't (6%)</li> <li>a telephone call to the p't or a relative (5%)</li> <li>a telephone call to a proxy provider of information (i.e., a staff member of a nursing home or a general practitioner) (3%)</li> <li>mean period of f/u for all p'ts → 256 days (~July 9, 2013)</li> </ul>
Table 2. Outcomes.         Outcome         Primary outcome: deaths at end of trial         Secondary outcomes         Neurologic function at follow-up↑         CPC of 3–5         Modified Rankin scale score of 4–6         Deaths at 180 days         * The hazard ratio is shown for the primary or confidence interval.         ↑ The neurologic follow-up was specified in th was in some cases several weeks longer for from 1 to 5, with 1 representing good cereb is sufficient for independent activities of ad death. Scores on the modified Rankin scale cant disability (patient mediated weeks longer for symptoma, 2 s 3 moderate disability (patient requires some is unable to attend to own bodily needs), 5	33°C Group 36°C Group no./total no. (%) 235/473 (50) 225/466 (48) 251/469 (54) 242/464 (52) 245/469 (52) 239/464 (52) 226/473 (48) 220/466 (47) utcome, and risk ratios are shown for the he protocol to be performed at 180 days. Togistic reasons. The Cerebral Performan rail performance or minor disability. A con range from 0 to 6, with 0 representing p light disability fastient is able to look aff he help but is able to walk unassisted). A r severe disability (patient is bedridden), a	Hazard Ratio or Risk Ratio (95% CI) <sup>o</sup> P Value 1.06 (0.89–1.28) 0.51 1.02 (0.88–1.16) 0.78 1.01 (0.89–1.14) 0.87 1.01 (0.87–1.15) 0.92 e secondary outcomes. CI denotes e secondary outcomes. CI denotes e secondary outcomes. CI denotes e categor (CC) scale ranges oderate cerebral disability (function no regetative state, and 5 brain o symptoms, 1 no clinically signifi- er own affiris windout assistance), noderately severe disability (patient nd 6 death.	10         30°C group           00         0 <t< th=""></t<>
Serious Hypokalemia more frequent in the (19%, vs. 13% in th	adverse ev e 33°C group ne 36°C group, P=	v <b>ents</b> 0.02)	<ul> <li>Discussion</li> <li>no significant differences between the two groups</li> <li>in overall mortality at the end of the trial</li> <li>in the composite of poor neurologic function or death at 180 days</li> <li>for all outcomes, none of the point estimates were in the direction of a benefit for the 33°C group</li> </ul>

<ul> <li>potential benefits of temperature management on brain injury due to circulatory arrest</li> <li>whole-body hypothermia influences all organ systems</li> <li>The recommendation of BT (32~34°C) isn't from human data</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>difference between this trial &amp; earlier trials</li> <li>actively controlled the BT</li> <li>prevent fever during the first 3 days after CA</li> <li>Larger sample &amp; fewer exclusion criteria (nonshockable rhythms ~20%)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Limitations</li> <li>ICU staff members were aware of the assigned target temperature</li> <li>exclusion of a substantial proportion of eligible patients due to ethical approval requirement</li> <li>no data on the dose &amp; type of sedation NMBs</li> <li>prehospital &amp; critical care management have changed during the past decade</li> <li>clinically relevant benefit of controlling the body temperature at 36°C (instead of allowing fever to develop)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Conclusion</li> <li>as compared with targeting BT @ 36°C</li> <li>this trial does not provide evidence that targeting BT @ 33°C confers any benefit for unconscious patients admitted to the hospital after OHCA</li> </ul>