







INCIDENCE

- The incidence of acute aortic dissection in the general population is estimated to range from 2.6 to 3.5 per 100,000 person-years [3-5]. Patients with acute aortic dissection tend to be 60- to 80-year-old men [1,6,7].
- In a review of 464 patients from the International Registry of Acute Aortic Dissection (IRAD), 65 percent were men and the mean age was 63 years [7].
 Women presenting with aortic dissection tend to be older than men (67 versus 60 years) [8].



Risk factors

- The most important predisposing factor for acute aortic dissection is systemic hypertension [1,6,7].
- In the IRAD registry data, 72 percent had a history of hypertension [7]. In addition, 31 percent had a history of atherosclerosis. These factors are less important in young patients; in an IRAD analysis of patients under age 40, only 34 percent had a history of hypertension and only 1 percent had a history of atherosclerosis [9].



TYPES OF AORTIC DISSECTION

· Stanford Classification system

- A Originated and involves ascending aorta.
- B Originated and involves descending aorta
- DeBakey Classification:
- Type I originated in ascending aorta
- Type II originated in and is confined to ascending aorta
- Type III originated in descending aorta
- · Ascending is twice often than descending

- In the IRAD review, 73 percent of patients presented with chest pain that was typically abrupt in onset and was more often sharp than tearing [7].
- Chest pain was significantly more common in patients with type A dissections (79 versus 63 percent in type B dissections), while both back pain (64 versus 47 percent) and abdominal pain (43 versus 22 percent) were significantly more common with type B dissections.



CLINICAL MANIFESTATIONS

- Patients with an aortic dissection typically present with severe, sharp or "tearing" posterior chest or back pain (in dissection distal to the left subclavian) or anterior chest pain (in ascending aortic dissection)
- · Symptoms been associated with aortic dissections:
- Sudden onset chest painFainting
- Limb pain, numbness, or weakness
- Shortness of Breath
- Anxiety
- Neck or Jaw pain
 Groin or back pain



- Painless dissection has been reported, but is relatively uncommon. In an analysis from the IRAD registry of 977 patients, only 63 (6.4 percent) had no pain [31].
- Patients with painless dissection were older (mean age 67 versus 62 years) and more often had a type A dissection (75 versus 61 percent).



- A prior history of diabetes, aortic aneurysm, or cardiovascular surgery was more common in patients with painless dissection. Presenting symptoms of syncope, heart failure, or stroke were seen more often in this group.
- In-hospital mortality was significantly higher than for patients presenting with pain (33 versus 23 percent).



A pulse deficit has been described in 19 to 30 percent

[7,34] compared with 9 to 21 percent with a type B dissection [7,35]. These patients have a higher rate of

in-hospital complications and mortality than those

without a pulse deficit [34]. Women are less likely to

of patients with an acute type A dissection

have a pulse deficit than men [8].

 In one study, up to <u>10 percent</u> of patients presented with neurologic symptoms, but without chest pain [<u>32</u>].Syncope during aortic dissection is associated with worse outcomes. <u>Almost all had a proximal</u> (Stanford type A) dissection and, compared with the patients presenting with other symptoms, there was an increased incidence of cardiac tamponade and stroke, conditions that are more likely to produce syncope.



DIAGNOSIS



Clinical Prediction of Acute Aortic Dissection

Yskert von Kodolitsch, MD; Ann G. Schwartz, PhD, MPH; Christoph A. Nienaber, MD

(REPRINTED) ARCH INTERN MED/VOL 160, OCT 23, 2000 WWW.ARCHINTERNMED.COM 2977

©2000 American Medical Association. All rights reserved.



Aortic dissection is generally suspected from the

history and physical examination.

(n = 128)	No Dissection (n = 122)	Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	
10.00	223.222	and the second second second second	
101 (79)	97 (30)	8.59 (4.64-15.26)	< 001
10(15)	28 (23)	0.50 (0.31-1.12)	
13 (10)	15 (17)	0.81 (0.37-1.77)	50
110 (86)	67 (56)	5.02 (2.72-0.26)	< 001
79 (62)	7 (5)	26.49/11.41.41.41	< 001
66.(44)	2 (6)	12 28 (5 52-29 57)	< 001
12/0	21 (17)	0.50/0.23-1.061	07
64 (50)	31 (25)	2 94 (1 72-5.01)	< 001
34 (77)	54 (51)	2 79 (1.41-5.55)	.001
28 (22)	14/11	2 16 (1 08-4 34)	03
87 (26)	85 (20)	1 36 (0 78.2 38)	28
an fred	an feat	conderva cond	
17/1204		NA	< 001
12/10	12 (10)	1.04 (0.45-2.37)	93
3/25	2 (2)	1.44 (0.24.8 77)	60
2.00	. (a)	the fact and	1.077
49/3014	170	25.05.710.10.454.65	< 001
20(16)		NA	< 001
51 (40)	20 (24)	2 12 /1 23.3 671	007
87.(41)	38 (31)	1 46 (0 09.3 63)	00
16(12)	2 (6)	2 18 (0 86-5 55)	1
13 (10)	54 (11)	0.82 (0.99-1.94)	24
BT (763	97 (29)8	11 01 02 11,10 23	< 001
27 (24)	8 (7)	3.85 / 56.8 201	007
9(7)	15.(17)	0.54 (0.22.1.28)	16
17/170	22 (18)	0.70 (0.95.1.98)	
33 (26)	97 (22)	1 22 (0 68-2 19)	1.1
3 (7)	2 (2)	1.44 (0.24-8.77)	69
	(# = 128) 10 (76) 10 (76) 10 (76) 10 (76) 10 (87) 10 (87) 1	(0 + 170) (0 + 1727) 10 (78) 27 (76) 13 (76) 27 (76) 13 (76) 27 (76) 14 (77) 27 (76) 15 (76) 27 (77) 16 (77) 27 (77) 17 (76) 27 (77) 17 (77) 17 (77) 18 (77) 19	$ \begin{array}{ $

 250 patients with acute chest pain, back pain, or both; absence of an established differential diagnosis of the pain syndrome; and clinical suspicion of acute aortic dissection were evaluated for the presence of 26 clinical variables in a prospective, observational study. Multivariate analysis was performed to create a prediction model of aortic dissection.



- Among 250 patients with acute chest and/or back pain (128 with a dissection) found that 96 percent of acute aortic dissections could be identified based upon some combination of the following three clinical features
 - Abrupt onset of thoracic or abdominal pain with a sharp, tearing and/or ripping character
 - >Mediastinal and/or aortic widening on chest radiograph
 - ➤A variation in pulse (absence of a proximal extremity or carotid pulse) and/or blood pressure (>20 mmHg difference between the right and left arm)

Pain: 31 perce Presence of cl Variation in pu Any two out of

The incidence

All three abse

Variable

aortic w

Aortic pain +

lse di

blood pressure differentials, or both

differentials, on pressure differential or both fediastinal widening

fediastinal widening + pulse differentials, blood pressure differentials, or both ortic pain + mediastinal widening, or both + pulse differentials, blood pressure differentials,

Nortic pain + pulse

misdiagnosis

Factors leading to failure to diagnose acute aortic dissection in the emergency room

Manabu Kurabayashi (MD)^{a,b,*}, Naoyuki Miwa (MD)^a, Daisuke Ueshima (MD)^b, Koji Sugiyama (MD)^b, Kojiro Yoshimura (MD)^a, Tsukasa Shimura (MD)^a, Hideshi Aoyagi (MD)^a, Koji Azegami (MD)^a, Kaoru Okishige (MD)^a, Mitsuaki Isobe (MD, FJCC)^b

^a Division of Cardiology, Yokohama City Minato Red Cross Hospital, Yokohama, Japan ^b Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Tokyo, Japan

Received 6 June 2011; received in revised form 15 July 2011; accepted 23 July 2011 Available online 3 September 2011



misdiagnosis

 We examined a total of 109 emergency room (ER) patients who were ultimately diagnosed with AAD.
 Misdiagnosis of AAD was defined as failure to diagnose AAD at the end of the initial assessment in the ER.

Table 3. Risk for Types A and B Acute Aortic Dissection According to 3 Clinical Predictors

> Dissection No Dissectio (n = 128) (n = 122)

5 (4) 13 (10)| 11 (9)

50 (39)

2 (2)

11 (9)

2 (2)

34 (27)

No. (%) of Patients

65 (53) 29 (24) 17 (14)

10 (8)

0

1 (1)

0

0

31 39

83

100

92

100

100

hese three:

٦t

misdiagnosis

		Univariate analysis		Multivariate analysis*		
		Odds ratio (95%CI)	p-Value	Odds ratio (95%CI)	p-Valu	
Walk-in patient		3.843 (1.101-13.407)	0.035	4.777 (1.267-18.007)	0.021	
Anterior chest pain		3.411 (1.110-10.482)	0.032	3.465 (1.061-11.314)	0.040	
severe or worst eve	er pain	0.409 (0.143-1.168)	0.095			
Widened mediastin	um	0.382 (0.123-1.187)	0.096			
* Multivariate anal	vsis of significant	t univariate predictors with a n-val	in c0 10			
oi	f admissi	on (odds ratio 4.77	'; 95% confi	dence interval		
O	t admissi course and in-h	on (odds ratio 4.77)	; 95% confi	dence interval		
Ol	t admissi-	on (odds ratio 4.77) ospital outcome.	Misdiagnosed patients N= 17	Diagnosed patients N-92	p-Valu	
Table 5 Clinical	f admission (h)	on (odds ratio 4.77) ospital outcome.	Misdiagnosed patients N-17 2.0 (4.0)	Diagnosed patients N=92 1.5 (2.0)	p-Valu 0.164	
Ol Table 5 Clinical	f admission course and in-h	n (odds ratio 4.77) ospital outcome. median (interquartile range) (h) median (interquartile range)	/; 95% confi Misdiagnosed patients N-17 2.0 (4.0) 25.0 (59.0)	Diagnosed patients N= 92 1.5 (2.0) 1.0 (1.0)	p-Valu 0.164 <0.001	
Ol Table 5 Clinical Time from onset to Time from admissi Urgent operation f	f admissio course and in-h	non (odds ratio 4.77 ospital outcome. median (interquartile range) (h) median (interquartile range)	Average (1), (5) 95% confi Misdiagnosed patients N=17 2.0 (4.0) 25.0 (59.0) 5. (29%)	dence interval patients N = 92 1.5 (2.0) 1.0 (1.0) 35 (38%)	p-Valu 0.164 <0.00 0.349	

Cardiol Clin. 2010 May;28(2):239-44. doi: 10.1016/j.ccl.2010.01.004.

Physicians should be legally liable for missing an atypical aortic dissection: PRO. Lebovits M.

Daniels, Fine, Israel, Schonbuch & Lebovits, LLP, 1801 Century Park East, Ninth Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067, USA. lebovits@dfis-law.com

 Discussion of the potential legal exposure of a health care provider for the failure to diagnose and treat a medical condition is premised on multiple considerations. The questions asked before taking legal action are if the case has merit, if the harm was caused by the act of omission or commission, if the damages were suffered as a result of that conduct, and the chances of success and the economic reality of pursuing the claim. The highly lethal nature of an acute aortic dissection makes it essential for the physician to recognize patients who are more likely to present atypically, and to aggressively pursue the diagnosis of acute aortic dissection. Whether the physician is ultimately liable for the poor outcome will depend not just on the breach of the standard of care, but whether it was a legal cause of the poor outcome. A poor outcome in and of itself does not create legal liability.



Expect new diagnostic method

- A rapid 30-minute immunoassay for the serum concentration of smooth muscle myosin heavy chain has been evaluated in patients suspected of having an aortic dissection
- The sensitivity and specificity of this assay in the first three hours were similar and possibly superior to those of TTE, conventional CT, and aortography, but were lower than those of TEE, helical CT, or MRI. The utility of this test needs further evaluation.

UpToDate.



- antihypertensive treatment →B-BLOCK :IV metoprolol or esmolol is commonly used. (120 to 130 mm Hg is a reasonable starting point.)

 Esmolol →initial bolus of 0.1 to 0.5 milligram/kg IV over 1 minute followed by an
- infusion of 0.025 to 0.2 milligram/kg/min.
- Metoprolol →5-milligram doses up to a 15-milligram initial bolus,followed by IV infusion at 2 to 5 milligrams per hour.
- Labetalol (a -blocker with limited -blocking characteristics in a 7:1 ratio) also may be used at an initial dose of 10 to 20 milligrams IV with repeat doses of 20 to 40 milligrams every 10 minutes to desired effect or a maximum dose of 300 milligrams.
 antihypertensive treatment ->Nitroprusside
- Nitroprusside →initially infused at a dose of 0.3 microgram/kg/min IV.



- Aortic dissections may cause hypotension that requires fluid or blood product resuscitation.
- Rapid referral to a surgeon is mandatory. Dissection with involvement of the ascending aorta requires prompt surgical repair.

Endovascular repair has rapidly been accepted as an appropriate method of treating some aortic type A and type B dissections, penetrating ulcers, and intramural hematomas. Endovascular therapy has uncertain long-term effects but has shown short-term benefit.

Endovascular treatment is minimally invasive and avoids sternotomy and circulatory arrest. In treating dissection, goals of therapy include expansion and stabilization of the true lumen and passive resorption of thrombosis of the false lumen. In addition, visceral artery blood flow can be restored passively or by fenestration of the initial flap. In general, endovascular stent grafts should not be used in the setting of connective tissue disease.



Take home message

- AAD may demonstrate diverse symptoms of various types
- of severity, which lead physicians to its misdiagnosis.
- Hx taking and PE are most important and instant
- CXR f/u by Contast CT for relative stable, TEE for vital signs unstable
- Predictors for AAD: 有任意兩個,預測率>83%,若三個都無,則機率很低
- immediate onset, a tearing /ripping character
- mediastinal widening/aortic widening on chest radiography
- pulse differentials, blood pressure differentials.
- In the misdiagnosed patients, walk-in mode of admission and anterior chest pain較常見較 少有widened mediastinum.
- There are no blood tests that diagnose dissections, smooth muscle myosin heavy chain may be a new diagnostic test.

REFERENCE

- 35.Suzuki T, Mehta RH, Ince H, et al. Clinical profiles and outcomes of acute type B aortic dissection in the current era: lessons from the International Registry of Aortic Dissection (IRAD). Circulation 2003; 108 Suppl 1:II312.
- von Kodolitsch Y, Schwartz AG, Nienaber CA. Clinical prediction of acute aortic dissection. Arch Intern Med 2000; 160:2977.
- J Cardiol. 2011 Nov;58(3):287-93. doi: 10.1016/j.jjcc.2011.07.008. Epub 2011 Sep 3.Factors leading to failure to diagnose acute aortic dissection in the emergency room.





