







- 07:00 BP:166/107/, HR 84, RR: 22, SpO2: 100%. Lactate: 49.1 mg/dL
- Repeat abd CT





21



- 1. small bowel perforation(free air in abdomen)
- 2.Penetrating wound at LMQ with active bleeding and gradually hematoma enlargement.
- 3.DDx: small laceration injury within spleen or normal cleft.

Emergent surgery

- 08:20 Emergent exploratory laparotomy
 Moderate turbid and bloody ascites
 - 2 perforated holes(0.5x0.8cm) on small bowel at 100-150cm level distal from Treitz ligament
 Mesentary hematoma at 100-120cm level distal
 - from Treitz ligament
- OP: Segmental resection of involved small bowel and primary anastomosis





Final diagnosis

- 1. small bowel perforation with pneumoperitoneum and mesentery hematoma s/p segmental resection of involved small bowel and primary anastomosis
- 2. penetrating cutting wound X2 with hemoperitonium s/p closure of peritoneum and wet dressing via outer wound
- 3. laceration wound at right forearm and left lower leg
- 4. interval shock status post resuscitation
- ÀSICU→ Day4 ward→ Day16 MBD



Abdominal penetrating trauma

 Stab wound and low-velocity gunshot wounds

cacause tissue damage by lacerating and cutting

- High-velocity gunshot wounds
 - «Cause additional intraabdominal injuries
 - Length of the missile's path through the body
 - The greater kinetic energy
 - Fragmentation creating secondary missiles

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Stab wound

- liver (40%),
- small bowel(30%), diaphragm(20%), colon (15%)
- gunshot wounds

 - colon(40%), liver(30%) and abdominal vascular structures(25%)

Stab wound

 The area most often injured → upper abdomen

ex left upper quadrant greater than right.

- Multiple SWs : 20 %
- involve the chest: 10% of SWs
- If the wound is close to the lower chest,
 evaluate diaphragmatic and intrathoracic injuries in addition to intraabdominal injury.
 expneumothorax? pericardial tamponade?



History-penetrating trauma Physical examination-1 • The time of injury Inspection Type of weapon (knife, scissor, arrow, pen, handgun) cacompletely undress any patient How long and how wide was the instrument? often be obscured by body habitus, clothing, or How was the patient positioned during the stabbing? path ? bleeding, or be "hidden" in the axilla, scalp, or groin Distance from the assailant Auscultation Number of stab or gunshot wounds Refere intraperitoneal blood or GI content may Amount of the external bleeding noted at the scene produce an ileus $\rightarrow \downarrow$ bowel sound, but Magnitude and location of the abdominal pain/ refer to the nonspecific shoulder Most useful when they are normal initially and then change over time

Physical examination-2

Percussion and palpation

 Percussion – if peritoneal irritation(+)
 no additional evidence of rebound tenderness need, due to unnecessary further pain
 involuntary m. guarding- peritoneal irritation?

Local wound exploration(LWE)-2

- better undertaken by two individuals
- requires local anesthesia and both sharp and blunt dissection
 - countil the bottom of the wound is clearly visualized.
- Blunt probing with fingers or cotton swabs
 ainaccurate and potentially dangerous
 amay lead to a false conclusion

CALLED STREAMEN

athe chest wall due to underlying viscera (lungs)

Local wound exploration(LWE) -1

evaluate their depth and tract

and intercostal vessels

Reperitoritis or hypotension

not used in

quickly and safely at the bedside

Local wound exploration(LWE)-3

- anterior SWs, if the rectus fascia is completely visualized and not violated
 (ie, the bottom of the wound is completely visualized and is anterior to the posterior rectus fascia)
 (amay be discharged after appropriate wound care, if no additional or extraabdominal injuries
- Heavy muscle, obesity, multiple wounds or other injuries → ↓successful LWE.
- If the posterior fascia is not clearly and completely seen
 - experitoneal injury cannot be ruled out and further testing must ensue.

Plain radiographs

- Hemodynamic abnormality→ X
- No hemodynamic abnormality «If suspect thoracoabdominal injury
- →CXR
- →penumothorax/hemothorax/intraperitoneal air?
 With marker rings or clips, at entrance and exit wound sites
- →KUB
- →missile's track /retroperitoneal air?
- lack sensitivity and specificity

FAST/DPL

Hemodynamic abnormality

∝FAST or DPL

Performed rapidly, early diagnosis

FAST

cehemopericardium, hemoperitoneum, pneumothorax ?

<mark>∝</mark>lf (-)

- injury cannot be excluded
- other diagnostic modalities must be employed

FAST/DPL

DPL

- invasive, but 98% sensitive for intraperitoneal bleeding
- Absolute contraindication:
- existing indication for laparotomy
- ঝ(+): >100,000 RBCs/HPF, >500 WBCs/HPF, bile, or amylase

GR Free aspiration of blood, GI contents, vegetable fibers, bile with hemodynamic abnormality→ laparotomy

Computed tomography(CT)

 No hemodynamic abnormality +no apparent indication for an emergency laparotomy

Contrast-enhanced CT

- Misses diaphragm, GI, and some pancreatic injury
- If no hepatic or splenic injury
- Refere fluid → from Gl/mesentery
- Organ involved/magnitude of injury → op?

Diagnostic laparoscopy (DL)

- most useful for inspecting the diaphragm
- equivocal peritoneal penetration
 evaluating the depth of wound tracts and identifying visceral injury
- injuries may be repaired using DL
 avoiding the need for exploratory laparotomy
- inadequate for identifying hollow viscus and retroperitoneal injury.

MANAGEMENT

indications for emergent laparotomy :

 Hemodynamic instability (hemothorax/ pneumothorax/ hemopericardium may be alternative or contributory cause)
 Peritoneal signs (can be insensitive, especially early after injury)
 -gunshot wound (90% intraperitoneal injury)
 - fascial penetration sign

- Evisceration
 - Implement-in-situ

