Journal Reading

Clinical paper

Long-term prognosis following resuscitation from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Role of aetiology and presenting arrest rhythmth Florence Dumas^{a,b,c,*}, Thomas D. Rea^{a,d}

Resuscitation Article history: Received 20 September 2011 Received in revised form 17 January 2012 Accepted 23 January 2012

Reporter:PGY朱宥綸 Supervisor:王瑞芳醫師 101.08.27

Methods

Population

□ >18y/o

- Non-traumatic, OHCA that were resuscitated and discharged alive
- Between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2009
- Emergency medical service (EMS) system
 - 1.3 million
 - 2000 square miles

Introduction

 Long-term prognosis following resuscitation and hospital discharge remains limited.

$\hfill\square$ An important context.

- Which subgroup is worth to invest ?
 Non-cardiac aetiology or non shockable rhythms
 cardiac aetiology or shockable rhythms
- A population-based cohort investigation
- Compared prognosis according to arrest aetiology and initial arrest rhythm.

EMS

- Basic life support by firefighters (with EMT) with AED
- Advanced life support by paramedics with...
- □ Call to basic : 5min ;
 - Advanced on-scene arrival : 10 min
- 14 hospitals with coronary catheterization laboratory and ICU

Results

- □ OHCA : 6742
- □ Not exclude : 5958
- Discharged alive : 1001
- □ Non-cardiac : 210/1001
 - respiratory : 86/210 41%
 - □ drug overdose : 46/210 22%
- □ Non-shockable : 313/1001

Data Collection

- Demographics, circumstances, presenting arrest rhythm, and care : according to Utstein Guidelines
- Arrest aetiology, clinical conditions, care, and outcome
 Presenting arrest rhythm was shockable or non-
- shockable : the defibrillator recording



Survival was lower : non-cardiac and nonshockable arrest rhythm

	1 year			5 years			10 years		
	Deaths	Person years	Survival	Deaths	Person years	Survival	Deaths	Person years	Survival
Overall	180	877	82 (80-84)	313	3063	64 (61-67)	348	3801	49 (42-56)
Non-cardiac	59	170	72 (65-78)	98	518	45 (37-53)	102	613	28 (11-49)
Cardiac	121	707	85 (82-87)	215	2545	69 (65-72)	246	3188	54 (47-61)
Non-shockable	99	245	69 (63-73)	157	737	43 (36-49)	162	877	30 (15-46)
Shockable	81	632	88 (86-90)	156	2326	74 (70-77)	186	2924	57 (49-64)



Discussion

- Non-cardiac aetiology and non-shockable rhythm had consistently poorer Utstein predictors.
 - less occur in a public setting, be witnessed, or receive bystander CPR, and longer EMS response interval.
 - $\hfill\square$ increasing over time during the decade of study.

- Non-shockable compared to shockable arrest rhythm were more likely to be older, be female, and have diabetes
- Non-cardiac compared to cardiac aetiology were on average more likely to be younger, be female, and have diabetes
- But less likely to have coronary disease, have a witnessed or public setting arrest, or receive bystander CPR

Conclusion

- Non-shockable rhythm or non-cardiac aetiology comprises a substantial proportion.
- Long-term survival in these groups is less, nearly half are alive 5 years following hospital discharge.
- Continued efforts to improve resuscitation care for all patients with cardiac arrest including those with non-cardiac aetiology or non-shockable presenting rhythm.

- Non-cardiac and non-shockable groups should be considered in programmatic efforts of resuscitation.
 - Oxygenation and ventilation may be relatively more important.
- Limitation
 - Can't evaluate prognosis according to the subtype of non-cardiac aetiology.
 - Did not assess functional status but rather simply described long-term survival.

